arXiv:hep-th/9306108v1 20 Jun 1993

Preprint IFUNAM
FT-93-021
June 1993

ELECTRODYNAMICS WITH WEINBERG'S PHOTONS

Valeri V. Dvoeglazov[*, †]

Depto de Fís.Teórica, Instituto de Física
Universidad Nacional Autónoma de Mexico
Apartado Postal 20-364, 01000 Mexico, D.F. MEXICO

Abstract

The interaction of the spinor field with the Weinberg's $2(2S+1)$- component massless field is considered. New interpretation of the Weinberg's spinor is proposed. The equation analogous to the Dirac oscillator is obtained.

KEYWORDS: quantum electrodynamics, Dirac oscillator, electromagnetic field potential, Lorentz group representation
PACS: 11.10.Ef, 11.10.Qr

---

[*] On leave from: *Dept. Theor. & Nucl. Phys., Saratov State University and Sci. & Tech. Center for Control and Use of Physical Fields and Radiations, Astrakhanskaya str. , 83, Saratov 410071 RUSSIA*

[†] Email: valeri@ifunam.ifisicacu.unam.mx
dvoeglazov@main1.jinr.dubna.su

In the Weinberg's $2(2S+1)$- approach [1] to description of particles of spin $S=1$ the wave function (WF) of vector bosons is written as six component column. It satisfies the following motion equation:

$$\left[\gamma_{\mu\nu}p_\mu p_\nu + M^2\right]\Psi^{(S=1)}(x) = 0, \tag{1}$$

where $\gamma_{\mu\nu}$'s are covariantly defined $6\otimes 6$- matrices [2], $\mu,\nu = 1\ldots 4$.

The 6- component WF's $\Psi^{(S=1)} = \binom{\Phi}{\Xi}$ are transformed on the $(1,0)\oplus(0,1)$ representation of the Lorentz universal covering group $SL(2,C)$. This way of description of the $S=1$ particle has some advantages, indeed [3].

In Ref. [1a, p.B1323] and Ref. [4, p.361] the following invariant (the interaction Hamiltonian) for interaction of 3 bispinors (e.g. two particles of spin $S=1/2$ and one particle of spin $S=1$) has been constructed:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Psi\psi\psi} = g\sum_{\mu_1\,\mu_2\,\mu_3}\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3\\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3\end{pmatrix}\Phi^{\mu_1}_{(S_1)}\phi^{\mu_2}_{(S_2)}\phi^{\mu_3}_{(S_3)} \pm \begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3\\ \dot\mu_1 & \dot\mu_2 & \dot\mu_3\end{pmatrix}\Xi^{\dot\mu_1}_{(S_1)}\chi^{\dot\mu_2}_{(S_2)}\chi^{\dot\mu_3}_{(S_3)}, \tag{2}$$

where $\begin{pmatrix} S_1 & S_2 & S_3\\ \mu_1 & \mu_2 & \mu_3\end{pmatrix}$ are the Wigner $3j$- symbols.

Assuming the interpretation of the Weinberg's spinor as the sum of vector and pseudovector [5b, formula (5)][1, 2]:

$$\begin{cases}\Phi_k = \tilde A_k + iA_k,\\ \Xi_k = \tilde A_k - iA_k.\end{cases} \tag{3}$$

in the case of massless $S=1$ particles (photons) we get the following invariant for interaction of two spinor particles with the electromagnetic field (the spinor representation is used) :

$$\begin{aligned}\mathcal{H}_{\Psi\psi\psi} = g\sum_{k\,\mu_2\,\mu_3}\Bigg\{&\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac12 & \frac12\\ k & \mu_2 & \mu_3\end{pmatrix}\phi^{\mu_2}_{(\frac12)}\phi^{\mu_3}_{(\frac12)} + \begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac12 & \frac12\\ k & \dot\mu_2 & \dot\mu_3\end{pmatrix}\chi^{\dot\mu_2}_{(\frac12)}\chi^{\dot\mu_3}_{(\frac12)}\right]\tilde A_k +\\ +\;& i\left[\begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac12 & \frac12\\ k & \mu_2 & \mu_3\end{pmatrix}\phi^{\mu_2}_{(\frac12)}\phi^{\mu_3}_{(\frac12)} - \begin{pmatrix}1 & \frac12 & \frac12\\ k & \dot\mu_2 & \dot\mu_3\end{pmatrix}\chi^{\dot\mu_2}_{(\frac12)}\chi^{\dot\mu_3}_{(\frac12)}\right]A_k\Bigg\}.\end{aligned} \tag{4}$$

(In (2) we choose the sign " $+$ " for definity). Taken into accounth the relation between the Pauli σ– matrices and the Clebsh-Gordon coefficients (formula on the p. 65 in

$$\sigma^\mu_{\alpha\beta} = -\sqrt{3}C^{\frac12\alpha}_{1\mu\frac12\beta} \tag{5}$$

[1] In Ref. [6] to the importance of the pseudovector potential $\tilde A_k$ in QED has been paid attention.

[2] As shown in Ref. [5a] the interpretation $\Psi^{(S=1)}$ according to [1b, p.B888] leads to the contradiction with the theorem about connection between the (A,B) representation of the Lorentz group and the helicity of particle with the WF which transforms according to this representation ($B-A=\lambda$). Moreover, the Weinberg's equations [1b, formulas (4.21) and (4.22)] admit the acausal ($E\neq\pm p$) solutions [7].

one can rewrite the previous expression (4) as following:

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Psi\bar{\psi}\psi} = \frac{g}{\sqrt{6}}\left\{-\bar{\psi}\alpha_k\gamma_5\psi\tilde{A}_k + i\bar{\psi}\alpha_k\psi A_k\right\}. \tag{6}$$

In fact, the coupling constant g is equal to $ie\sqrt{6}$, e is electric charge, $k = 1, 2, 3$. The matrix γ_5 has been chosen in the diagonal form:

$$\gamma_5 = \begin{pmatrix} -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{7}$$

$$\beta = \alpha_4 = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix}, \tag{8}$$

and

$$\vec{\alpha} = \begin{pmatrix} \vec{\sigma} & 0 \\ 0 & -\vec{\sigma} \end{pmatrix}. \tag{9}$$

One can see that this interaction Hamiltonian leads to the equations (14), which are analogous to the Dirac oscillator equations [9] provided that we suppose $\tilde{A}_k = m\omega r_k/e$. In fact, we have the Eq. from the Hamiltonian (6):

$$i\hbar\frac{\partial\psi}{\partial t} = c\vec{\alpha}\cdot(\vec{p} - e\vec{A} - ie\gamma_5\vec{\tilde{A}})\psi + mc^2\beta\psi, \tag{10}$$

which is equivalent to the following system ($c = \hbar = 1$) :

$$\begin{cases} \left[(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) - e(\vec{\sigma}\vec{A}) + ie(\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}})\right]\xi + m\eta = E\xi, \\ \left[-(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p}) + e(\vec{\sigma}\vec{A}) + ie(\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}})\right]\eta + m\xi = E\eta. \end{cases} \tag{11}$$

Therefore,

$$\begin{aligned} (E^2 - m^2)\xi = \Big\{\vec{p}^{\,2} - e\left[(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{A}) + (\vec{\sigma}\vec{A})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})\right] + \\ + \quad ie\left[(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}}) - (\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})\right] + e^2\vec{A}^{\,2} + e^2\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\,2} + 2ieE(\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}})\Big\}\xi, \end{aligned} \tag{12}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} (E^2 - m^2)\eta = \Big\{\vec{p}^{\,2} - e\left[(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{A}) + (\vec{\sigma}\vec{A})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})\right] - \\ - \quad ie\left[(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}}) - (\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}})(\vec{\sigma}\vec{p})\right] + e^2\vec{A}^{\,2} + e^2\vec{\tilde{A}}^{\,2} + 2ieE(\vec{\sigma}\vec{\tilde{A}})\Big\}\eta, \end{aligned} \tag{13}$$

what give (when $\vec{A} = \vec{0}$ and $\vec{\tilde{A}} = m\omega\vec{r}/e$):

$$\begin{cases} (E^2 - m^2)\xi = \left[\vec{p}^{\,2} + m^2\omega^2r^2 + 2iEm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r}) + 3m\omega + 4m\omega\vec{S}[\vec{r}\times\vec{p}]\right]\xi, \\ (E^2 - m^2)\eta = \left[\vec{p}^{\,2} + m^2\omega^2r^2 + 2iEm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r}) - 3m\omega - 4m\omega\vec{S}[\vec{r}\times\vec{p}]\right]\eta. \end{cases} \tag{14}$$

The appearance of new term $(2iEm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r}))$ can be explained by the fact that it is possible to add in the formula (5) of the paper [9] both the term $-im\omega\beta\vec{r}$, which corresponds to the addition $\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_4 R_{4i}$ (where $R_{4j} = ir_j$), and the one $\frac{m\omega}{2}[\vec{\alpha} \times \vec{r}]$, which corresponds to the interaction term $\frac{1}{2}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j R_{ij}$ (where $R_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk} r_k$), in accordance with bivector construction rules as the expansion in Clifford algebra in the Minkowsky 4- dimensional space [10]. So, the interaction term for the Dirac oscillator is possible to define:

$$R = -m\omega\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_4 R_{4i} + \frac{m\omega}{2}\alpha_i \wedge \alpha_j R_{ij}. \tag{15}$$

(cf. formula (32) in Ref. [10, p. 244]). In the case of electromagnetism $R_{4i} = i\tilde{A}_i$ and $R_{ij} = \epsilon_{ijk}\tilde{A}_k$. Thus, instead of the minimal form of electromagnetic interaction $(\gamma_\mu A_\mu)$ we have the bivector form interaction (similarly to the introduction of the Pauli term).

In this case the Eqs. (7a,7b) in Ref. [9] could be written in the form (standard representation)[3]:

$$\begin{aligned} (E - mc^2)\psi_1 &= c\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} + im\omega\vec{r})\psi_2 + icm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r})\psi_1, \\ (E + mc^2)\psi_2 &= c\vec{\sigma} \cdot (\vec{p} - im\omega\vec{r})\psi_1 + icm\omega(\vec{\sigma}\vec{r})\psi_2. \end{aligned} \tag{16}$$

Would like to mention that A_k, the vector potential, is the compensating field for the gauge transformation of the second kind and $\tilde{A}_k$, the pseudovector potential, is the compensating field for the chirality transformation[4].

Since $E_k = rot\tilde{A}_k$ we can see that $\vec{E} = \vec{0}$, and $\vec{H} = \vec{0}$ in this case. However, the spectrum is influenced by the term $\vec{\tilde{A}}$. Perhaps this situation is linked somehow with the Aharonov-Bohm effect.

We can implement the new $4 \otimes 4$- matrix field corresponding to the electromagnetic field:

$$\Phi_k = \begin{pmatrix} A_k - i\tilde{A}_k & 0 \\ 0 & A_k + i\tilde{A}_k \end{pmatrix} \tag{17}$$

which is described by the Lagrangian:

$$\mathcal{L} = \bar{\Psi}^{(S=1)}\gamma_{\mu\nu}p_\mu p_\nu \Psi^{(S=1)} = i\bar{\Phi}_j \left\{ -i\epsilon_{ijk}p_4 p_i \otimes \gamma_5 + (\vec{p}^{\,2}\delta_{jk} - p_j p_k) \otimes I \right\} \Phi_k. \tag{18}$$

The corresponding dynamical invariants are found from the tensor of energy-momentum which is written as following:

$$\begin{aligned} T_{44} &= i\bar{\Phi}_j(\vec{p}^{\,2}\delta_{jk} - p_j p_k)\Phi_k, \\ T_{l4} &= i\epsilon_{ijk}\bar{\Phi}_j p_i p_l \otimes \gamma_5 \, \Phi_k, \\ T_{4l} &= i\epsilon_{ljk}\bar{\Phi}_j p_4 p_4 \otimes \gamma_5 \, \Phi_k - 2i\bar{\Phi}_k p_l p_4 \Phi_k + i\bar{\Phi}_k p_k p_4 \Phi_l + i\bar{\Phi}_l p_4 p_k \Phi_k, \\ T_{lm} &= \mathcal{L}\delta_{lm} + i\epsilon_{mjk}\bar{\Phi}_j p_l p_4 \otimes \gamma_5 \, \Phi_k - 2i\bar{\Phi}_k p_l p_m \Phi_k + i\bar{\Phi}_m p_l p_k \Phi_k + i\bar{\Phi}_k p_k p_l \Phi_m. \end{aligned} \tag{19}$$

[3]Since the Eq. (10) does not lead to the term $-im\omega\beta\vec{r}$ this induces us to the assumption of existence of the "irregular" invariant for the interaction of two $S = 1/2$ particles and one $S = 1$ particle (cf. formula (15) in Ref. [4]).

[4]See e.g. [11] for discussion of the chirality (γ_5) symmetry of massless fields and neutrino theory of photons. As to the generalized gauge transformations, one can find in [12, 13].

The problem of quantization of this field will be considered in the approaching publication.

The author expresses his gratitude to Profs. D. Ahluwalia, R. N. Faustov, V. G. Kadyshevsky, M. Moshinsky and Yu. F. Smirnov for extremely fruitful discussions. The technical assistance of A. S. Rodin is greatly appreciated. The author also appreciates very much excellent working conditions when staying at the Instituto de Física, UNAM. This work has been financially supported by the CONACYT (Mexico) under the contract No. 920193.

References

[1] Weinberg S. , "Phys. Rev." **133** (1964) B1318; ibid **134** (1964) B882; ibid **181** (1969) 1893.

[2] Barut A. O. , Muzinich I. and Williams D. N. , "Phys. Rev." **130** (1963) 442.

[3] Tucker R. H. and Hammer C. L. , "Phys. Rev. D" **3** (1971) 2448.

[4] Marinov M. S. , "Ann. Phys." **49** (1968) 357.

[5] Dvoeglazov V. V. , *Lagrangian Formulation of the Joos-Weinberg's* $2(2S+1)$*- theory and Its Connection with the Skew-Symmetric Tensor Description.* Preprint **FT-93-016** , Mexico: IFUNAM, 1993 (*submitted to "J. of Phys. A"*) ; Dvoeglazov V. V. and Khudyakov S. V. , *Vector Particle Interactions in the Quasipotential Approach.* Preprint **FT-93-019**, Mexico: IFUNAM, 1993 (*submitted to "J. of Phys. G"*).

[6] Cabibbo N. and Ferrari E. , "Nuovo Cim." **23** (1962) 1147; Candlin D. J. , "Nuovo Cim." **37** (1965) 1390; Han M. Y. and Biedenharn L. C. , "Nuovo Cim. A" **2** (1971) 544; Mignani R. , "Phys. Rev. D" **13** (1976) 2437.

[7] Ahluwalia D. V. and Ernst D. J. , "Mod. Phys. Lett." **7** (1992) 1967.

[8] Akhiezer A. I. and Berestetskii V. B. , *Quantum Electrodynamics*. Interscience Publisher, translated by Volkoff G. M. , 1965.

[9] Moshinsky M. and Szcepaniak A. , "J. of Phys. A" **22** (1989) L817.

[10] Jancewicz B. , *Multivectors and Clifford Algebra in Electrodynamics*. World Scientific. Singapore, 1988.

[11] Strazhev V. I. , "Int. J. of Theor. Phys." **16** (1977) 111; Strazhev V. I. and Kruglov S. I. , "Acta Phys. Polon." **B8** (1977) 807.

[12] Barut A. and McEwan J. , "Phys. Lett. B" **135** (1984) 172.

[13] Crawford J. P. , *The Dirac Oscillator and Local Automorphism Invariance*, to appear in "J. of Math. Phys." (1993).